

**Maine Medical Center
Department of Emergency Medicine
Journal Club Summary Template**

Date: 3/22/2018	Presenter Name: Jason Block
------------------------	------------------------------------

Article Citation:

Lindberg DM, Shapiro RA, Laskey AL, et al. Prevalence of abusive injuries in siblings and household contacts of physically abused children. Pediatrics. 2012;130(2):193-201.

Country(ies): US

Funding Source(s): Health Resources and Services Administration/Maternal and Child Health Bureau, EM Services for Children Program.

☐ None Stated

Purpose
Research Question(s): <div style="text-align: right;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None Stated</div>
Hypotheses: <div style="text-align: right;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None Stated</div>
Study Purpose: The objective was to determine the prevalence of abusive injuries identified by a common screening protocol among contacts of physically abused children.

Methods
Study Design: Prospective, observational, multicenter, cross-sectional
Outcome(s) [or Dependent Variable]: Physical abuse in contact child
Intervention [or Independent Variable]: Screening protocol
Ethics Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRB Review <input type="checkbox"/> IACUC Review <input type="checkbox"/> Other: <input type="checkbox"/> None Stated
Research Setting: 20 child abuse teams in the US, primary sites are large academic hospitals
Study Subjects: Children <10 years evaluated by a child abuse physicians for concerns of physical abuse, and their contacts <5 years old (household contacts, home daycare contacts).
Inclusion Criteria: Physically abused children <10 years old (High-likelihood on 7-point scale with serious injury)

Exclusion Criteria: Index children: Age >10, No serious injury in index child Subjects: Age >5
Study Interventions: Contacts of index children were screened for physical abuse by predetermined protocols by age: Age <5 – physical exam Age <2 – physical exam + skeletal survey Age <6 months – physical exam + skeletal survey + neuroimaging (CT/MRI)
Study Groups:
Instruments/Measures Used: Physical Exam, Skeletal Survey, Neuroimaging
Data Collection: Prospectively enrolled contact children over 1 year
Data Analysis: <i>A priori</i> sample size calculation? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Described <input type="checkbox"/> N/A Statistical analyses used: Adjustment for potential confounders? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Described <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A If yes, list:

Results
Study participants: 479 contacts of 627 index children
Brief answers to research questions [key findings]: Contacts of abused children with serious injuries are at high risk for abuse, particularly in twins. -11.9% of contacts had abusive fracture on skeletal survey
Additional findings: The odds-ratio of fracture in a twin contact was 20.1 relative to non twin contacts. Physical exam was insensitive at identifying findings suggestive of fracture
Limitations: Small study – did not achieve <i>a priori</i> sample size calculation Radiologists have experience reading skeletal survey

Clinical Implications
<p>Applicable? Feasible? Clinically relevant?</p> <p>Comments: Applicable and clinically relevant. Feasibility is questionable with regard to radiologist experienced in skeletal survey availability.</p>
Level of evidence generated from this study
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Ia: evidence obtained from meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ib: evidence obtained from at least one randomized controlled trial</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> IIa: evidence obtained from at least one well-designed, controlled study without randomization</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IIb: evidence obtained from at least one other type of well-designed quasi-experimental study</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> III: evidence obtained from a well-designed, non-experimental study</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> IV: expert committee reports; expert opinion; case study; case report</p>

Additional Comments/Discussion/Notes
<p>Neuroimaging did not pick up any additional injuries not identified by skeletal survey, but this is probably still indicated in children <6 months. Physical exam alone is inadequate and injuries will be missed.</p>